

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
SOUTHERN DIVISION
7:19-cv-256-BO

LORENZO D. BRYANT,
Plaintiff,

v.

WANDA A. BRYANT,
Defendant.

)
)
)
)
)
)

O R D E R

This cause comes before the Court on the memorandum and recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Robert Numbers. [DE 4]. On January 14, 2020, Judge Numbers recommended that this action be dismissed. For the reasons that follow, the M&R [DE 4] is ADOPTED and this action is DISMISSED.

BACKGROUND

In December 2019, plaintiff filed a *pro se* application to proceed *in forma pauperis* under 28 U.S.C. § 1915. Plaintiff brings a claim for damages, alleging defendant breached a marital separation agreement.

Judge Numbers entered the instant memorandum and recommendation (M&R), granting the application to proceed *in forma pauperis* and recommending that plaintiff's case be dismissed for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction. Plaintiff filed no objections to the M&R.

DISCUSSION

A district court is required to review *de novo* those portions of an M&R to which a party timely files specific objections or where there is plain error. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149–50 (1985). “[I]n the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct *de novo* review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the

face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.” *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (internal quotation and citation omitted).

The Court has reviewed the M&R and is satisfied that there is no clear error. Accordingly, the M&R is ADOPTED.

CONCLUSION

The memorandum and recommendation of Magistrate Judge Numbers [DE 4] is ADOPTED and this action is DISMISSED. The Clerk is DIRECTED to close the case.

SO ORDERED, this 14 day of March, 2020.


TERRENCE W. BOYLE
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE